PROPOSED SCALES - for 2011 - 2012

MEN

| | 50 | 56 | 62 | 69 | 77 | 85 | 94 | +94 | 105 | +105 |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Elite | | 228 | 258 | 274 | 306 | 319 | 338 | | 344 | 355 |
| International | | 205 | 232 | 246 | 275 | 287 | 304 | | 309 | 319 |
| A Grade | | 188 | 212 | 226 | 252 | 263 | 278 | | 283 | 292 |
| B Grade | | 171 | 193 | 205 | 229 | 239 | 253 | | 258 | 266 |
| C Grade | 134 | 148 | 167 | 178 | 198 | 207 | 219 | 226 | 223 | 230 |
| D Grade | 113 | 125 | 141 | 150 | 168 | 175 | 185 | 192 | 189 | 195 |
| E Grade | 92 | 102 | 116 | 123 | 137 | 143 | 152 | 156 | 154 | 159 |

WOMEN

| | 44 | 48 | 53 | 58 | 63 | 69 | +69 | 75 | +75 |
|---------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------|-----|
| Elite | | 153 | 170 | 177 | 195 | 201 | | 202 | 223 |
| International | | 137 | 153 | 159 | 175 | 180 | | 181 | 200 |
| A Grade | | 126 | 140 | 146 | 160 | 165 | | 166 | 183 |
| B Grade | | 114 | 127 | 132 | 146 | 150 | | 151 | 167 |
| C Grade | 90 | 99 | 110 | 115 | 126 | 130 | 137 | 131 | 144 |
| D Grade | 76 | 84 | 93 | 97 | 107 | 110 | 116 | 111 | 122 |
| E Grade | 61 | 68 | 76 | 79 | 87 | 90 | 95 | 91 | 100 |

It should be noted that the Elite figures are what the AWF will have anyway in 2011, as per the existing policy, with the exception of perhaps a one kilogram difference in a few categories due to my recommendation six.

Additionally I point out that, regardless of the number of lifters who may qualify for a particular potential Australian team, it remains the responsibility of the AWF's Executive Board to determine to which events Australia will actually send teams, and to decide the maximum number of athletes who can be funded on each team. There have been many cases in the past of more qualifying than the previously laid down maximum number of lifters who will be selected and funded. Obviously then a formal policy needs to be adopted as to which 'qualified' lifters actually get to go – for example, as has been done a number of times, who has the greatest percentage above the minimum qualifying figure.

Respectfully submitted,

Hichael wom

Michael Noonan 16/06/2010